

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,655	06/27/2003	Christopher L. Coleman	10030279-1	0030279-1 2630	
7590 03/24/2005 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EXAMINER CHANG, AUDREY Y		
P.O. Box 7599	•		2872		
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

: 4		17
14	•	11

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/607,655	COLEMAN, CHRISTOP	COLEMAN, CHRISTOPHER L.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Audrey Y. Chang	2872			

Auvisory Action	10/007,055	COLEIVIAN, CHRIS	TOPHER L.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Audrey Y. Chang	2872					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv</li> </ul>		e final rejection, whichever	er is later. In no				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the control of the shortened standard in the control of t	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 ) as set forth in (b)				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	ths of the date of filin of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will not be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC	OTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r		g the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		compliant Amendmen	it (PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendr	ment canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and ar	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apports ory and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant f See 87 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a )(1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		/					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	1		ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa <b>p</b> ei	r No(s)					
13.  Other:		Audrey Y. Chang Primary Examiner	,				
		Art Unit: 2872					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive to overcome the rejections. The arguments provided and the specification cited are inconclusive to support the feature "substrate is configured to focus infrared light". "Focus simulation" is defined in the specification as a measure of the diffractive element "scatters" the light, (please see paragraph [0022]). There is no direct support for the "focus" of the light. If such feature is claimed, then the sepcification needs to be amended to explicitly state such. The applicant is also respectfully remined that the method for depositing the antireflective coating, does not differentiate the final product from the prior art, it therefore is not considered to be novell and given no patentable weight. If there is novelty concerning the method of depositing the coating, please specify the novelty in the claims.